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OVERVIEW OF STATE ASSESSMENT SYSTEM AND DISTRICT WIDE INFORMATION

## FUTURE READY PA INDEX

- Was first used with 2017-2018 data
- Replaced the School Performance Profile (SPP)
- Pennsylvanians wanted a more holistic tool for evaluating schools.
- The Future Ready PA Index recognizes that students - and the schools that serve them - are more than just results on standardized tests. It features a collection of indicators that illustrate academic proficiency, on-track progress, and college and career readiness - helping to demonstrate how schools are doing in preparing students for post secondary success.
- The Future Ready PA Index's dashboard approach heightens transparency around school and student performance by including data on achievement and growth by student group/subgroup.
- The Future Ready dashboard is easy to navigate - searchable by district, school, career and technical center, or location; and it includes basic information and demographic data for each school. The Future Ready PA Index also features helpful filters and options to compare multiple schools.


## FUTURE READY PA INDEX

```
- Statewide Assessments:(ELA/Lit, Math/Alg l, Sci/Bio)
- Percent proficient/advanced
- Meeting annual growth expectations (PVAAS)
- Percent Advanced
- On-Track Measures:
- English Language Proficiency
- Regular Attendance
- Early Indicators of Success
- \(3^{\text {rd }}\) Grade Reading
- \(7^{\text {th }}\) Grade Math
- College and Career Readiness Measures:
- Graduation Rate
- Career Standards Benchmark
- Industry Based Learning
- Advanced on Industry Based Competency Assessment
- Rigorous Courses of Study
- Post-Secondary Transition to School, Military, Work
```

2021-2022 DATA

## NLSD - DEMOGRAPHICS

Enrollment: NLSD = 1528, LCTI = 150, BA = 73, Charter = 93
-43.5\%\% Economically Disadvantaged
1.6\% ELL

- 18.3\% Special Education
- 1.3\% Gifted
- 0.7\% Foster Care
2.2\% Homeless
- 2.4\% Military Connected

Percent Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity 山 山


American Indian/Alaskan Native

Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander ..... $0.1 \%$
Hispanic ..... 17.5\%
White76.8\%

## NLSD - ASSESSMENTS

## PETERS ELEMENTARY

- DIBELS(ACADIENCE READING)
- Imagine Learning Math

NL MIDDLE SCHOOL

- PSSA (7-8)
- Keystone (Alg I)
- PASA
-IXL


## SLATINGTON ELEMENTARY <br> - PSSA (3-6) <br> - PASA <br> - STAR

## NL HIGH SCHOOL

- Keystone (Lit, Alg I, Bio)
- PASA
- AP Exams
- SAT


## PETERS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (GRADES K-2)



## PES - ATTENDANCE



## PES - ACADIENCE READING

2021-2022 All Grades Status - DIBELS Next - Former Goals
District: Northem Lehigh School District School: Peters Elementary

DIBELSS DataSystem

| Grade | Beginning | Middle | End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K |     <br> $n=114$ $49 \square$ $18 \square$ $47 \square$ <br>  $(43 \%)$ $(16 \%)$ $(41 \%)$ |    1 <br> $n=107$ $37 \square$ $29 \square$ $4] \square$ <br>  (35\%) $(27 \%)$ $(38 \%)$ |     <br> $n=65$ $11 \square$ $22 \square$ $32 \square$ <br>  $(17 \%)$ $(34 \%)$ $(49 \%)$ |
| 1st |     <br> $n=106$ $45 \square$ $18 \square$ $43 \square$ <br>  $(42 \%)$ $(17 \%)$ $(41 \%)$ |   1  <br> $n=104$ $34 \square$ $17 \square$ $53 \square$ <br>  $(33 \%)$ $(16 \%)$ $(51 \%)$ |      <br> $\mathrm{n}=101$ $17 \square$ $23 \square$ $61 \square$  <br>   $(17 \%)$ $(23 \%)$ $(60 \%)$ |
| 2nd |  I   <br> $n=119$ $29 \square$ $13 \square$ $77 \square$ <br>  $(24 \%)$ $(11 \%)$ $(65 \%)$ |  1   <br> $n=117$ $24 \square$ $13 \square$ $80 \square$ <br>  $(21 \%)$ $(11 \%)$ $(68 \%)$ |  1   <br> $n=92$ $14 \square$ $14 \square$ $64 \square$ <br>  $(15 \%)$ $(15 \%)$ $(70 \%)$ |
| All |     <br> $n=339$ $123 \square$ $49 \square$ $167 \square$ <br>  $(36 \%)$ $(14 \%)$ $(49 \%)$ |  1 1  <br> $n=328$ $95 \square$ $59 \square$ $174 \square$ <br>  $(29 \%)$ $(18 \%)$ $(53 \%)$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|cc\|}\hline & & & \\ \hline n=258 & 42 \square & 59 \square & 157 \square \\ & & (16 \%) & (23 \%)\end{array}\right)(61 \%)$ |

Legend $\mathrm{n}=$ Number of Students $\square$ Intensive Support Strategic Support

Core Support
Results Based On DIBELS Composite Score

## PES - IMAGINE MATH



## PES - PASS

1. Feelings about school
2. Perceived learning capability 7. Confidence in learning
3. Self-regard as a learner
4. Preparedness for learning
5. Attitudes towards teachers
6. General work ethic
7. Attitudes towards attendance
8. Response to curriculum demands

| PE | \#1 | \#2 | \#3 | \#4 | \#5 | \#6 | $\# 7$ | $\# 8$ | $\# 9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 34.6 | 63.4 | 48.7 | 50.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | 47.1 | 62.9 | 67.0 | 40.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 39.1 | 50.3 | 54.3 | 57.5 | 43.3 | 56.5 | 47.4 | 51.0 | 47.6 |



## FOCUS AT PETERS

- ELA - Implementing pre-pandemic strategies for reading intervention, providing direct intervention to Kindergarten students. Refined MTSS data gathering, looking at student data more frequently and focusing on differentiation and classroom interventions.
- Math - Providing a daily What I Need (WIN) period to allow for additional opportunities for math intervention. Changed our schedule to address challenges with math instruction without impacting reading instruction.
- Family Engagement - Continue to provide monthly opportunities for family engagement at monthly elementary school meetings. Rotated monthly meeting schedule to allow for greater participation after school and now work with the CHC to provide social skills instruction for any students who accompany their parents/guardians.
- SEL - Partnering with the CHC to provide weekly social skills groups throughout the school year. Began with offering 3 groups and are planning on expanding the groups after the New Year.
- Other - Began the SHINE program in October and it has been a tremendous support for our students. There are currently 18 students who attend after school 4 days per week.


## SLATINGTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL GRADES 3-6



## SES - ATTENDANCE



## SES - FUTURE READY - ACHIEVEMENT



## SES - FUTURE READY - ACHIEVEMENT - ADV



## SES - PSSA - ELA - ACHIEVEMENT

| PSSA/ELA | Advanced | Proficient | Basic | Below Basic | A+P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade | $5 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ <br> $($ PA 52.3\%) |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $9 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ <br> $(P A ~ 52.2 \%)$ |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $9 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $52.7 \%$ <br> $($ PA 53.6\%) |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $23 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $61.9 \%$ <br> (PA 56.1\%) |

Overall 2022:

- 51.0\% at SE were Adv/Prof
- 54.6\% in PA were Adv/Prof [Gr 3-6]

Historical:

- 50.3\% at SE were Adv/Prof 2021
- N/A at SE were Adv/Prof 2020
- 63.7\% at SE were Adv/Prof 2019


## SES - PSSA - MATH - ACHIEVEMENT

| PSSA/MATH | Advanced | Proficient | Basic | Below Basic | A+P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade | $11 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ <br> (PA 47.7\%) |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $9 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $37.4 \%$ <br> (PA 42.3\%) |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $12 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $34.5 \%$ <br> $($ PA 35.4\%) |
| $\mathbf{6}^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $10 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ <br> (PA 32.3\%) |

Overall 2022:

- 34.2\% at SE were Adv/Prof
- 34.4\% in PA were Adv/Prof [Gr 3-6]

Historical:

- 26.4\% at SE were Adv/Prof 2021
- N/A at SE were Adv/Prof 2020
- $47.6 \%$ at SE were Adv/Prof 2019


## SES - PSSA - SCIENCE - ACHIEVEMENT

| PSSA/SCI | Advanced | Proficient | Basic | Below Basic | A+P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $44 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $77.0 \%$ <br> $(P A ~ 73.7 \%)$ |

Historical:

- 71.3\% at SE were Adv/Prof 2021
- N/A at SE were Adv/Prof 2020
- 79.2\% at SE were Adv/Prof 2019


## SES - FUTURE READY - GROWTH



## SES - PSSA - ELA - GROWTH



1=Low, 2=Low/Mid, 3=Mid, 4=Mid/High, 5=High

[^0]
## SES - PSSA - MATH - GROWTH



1=Low, 2=Low/Mid, 3=Mid, 4=Mid/High, 5=High
School Value-Added
Significant evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard
Moderate evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard
Evidence that the school met the growth standard
Moderate evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard
Significant evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard
No data currently available

## SES - PSSA - SCI - GROWTH



## School Value-Added

Significant evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard Moderate evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard
Evidence that the school met the growth standard
Moderate evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard
Significant evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard
No data currently available

1=Low, 2=Low/Mid, 3=Mid, 4=Mid/High, 5=High

## SES - COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS



## SES - BUILDING SCORE

| $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2017 \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2018 \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2019 \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2020 \\ & 2021 \end{aligned}$ | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66.3 | 61.7 | 62.7 |  |  | 78.1 |

## SES - PASS

1. Feelings about school
2. Perceived learning capability
3. Self-regard as a learner
4. Preparedness for learning
5. Attitudes towards teachers
6. General work ethic
7. Confidence in learning
8. Attitudes towards attendance
9. Response to curriculum demands

| SE | $\# 1$ | $\# 2$ | $\# 3$ | $\# 4$ | $\# 5$ | $\# 6$ | $\# 7$ | $\# 8$ | $\# 9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 37.7 | 49.6 | 53.9 | 52.3 | 46.9 | 39.0 | 48.9 | 43.3 | 44.7 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | 44.8 | 47.4 | 42.3 | 57.8 | 48.8 | 39.5 | 48.0 | 49.2 | 41.6 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | 42.7 | 41.8 | 40.2 | 50.2 | 53.5 | 38.3 | 51.6 | 41.3 | 37.9 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | 54.6 | 53.7 | 44.6 | 63.7 | 74.4 | 50.3 | 50.4 | 38.8 | 41.4 |



## FOCUS AT SLATINGTON

ELA

- Analyzing Assessment Anchors data and student data in each grade level to identify areas of need and addressing those needs through our MTSS process in dedicated ELA daily instruction and WIN intervention blocks.
- Continuing work on curriculum review and alignment of common assessments.
- Math
- Analyzing Assessment Anchors data and student data in each grade level to identify areas of need and addressing those needs through our MTSS process in dedicated Math daily instruction and WIN intervention blocks.
- Continuing work on curriculum review and alignment of common assessments.
- Science
- Beginning curriculum review and alignment process to new PA Science Academic Standards.
- Reviewing instructional practices related new standards.
- Family Engagement
- Monthly Family Engagement Meetings and looking for opportunities for increased family involved in school activities.
- SEL
- Second Step, Zones of Regulation, Shanthi Project (staff and students), SWPBS
- Other
- SHINE
- Differentiated Instruction Professional Development for all teachers (Ken Erhmann)


## NORTHERN LEHIGH MIDDLE SCHOOL GRADES 7-8



## MS - ATTENDANCE



## MS - FUTURE READY - ACHIEVEMENT



## MS - FUTURE READY - ACHIEVEMENT - ADV



## MS - PSSA - ELA - ACHIEVEMENT

| PSSA/ELA | Advanced | Proficient | Basic | Below Basic | A+P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $11 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $50.5 \%$ <br> (PA 57.2\%) |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $15 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $45.7 \%$ <br> $(P A ~ 55.6 \%)$ |

Overall 2022:

- 47.9\% at MS were Adv/Prof
- 54.6\% in PA were Adv/Prof [Gr 7-8]

Historical:

- 43.9\% at MS were Adv/Prof 2021
- N/A at MS were Adv/Prof 2020
- 50.2\% at MS were Adv/Prof 2019


## MS - PSSA - MATH - ACHIEVEMENT

| PSSA/MATH | Advanced | Proficient | Basic | Below Basic | A+P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $3 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ <br> $(P A ~ 27.0 \%)$ |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ <br> $($ PA 22.6\%) |

Overall 2022:

- 12.3\% at MS were Adv/Prof
- 34.4\% in PA were Adv/Prof [Gr 7-8]

Historical:

- 15.3\% at MS were Adv/Prof 2021
- N/A at MS were Adv/Prof 2020
- 27.7\% at MS were Adv/Prof 2019


## MS - KEYSTONE - ALG I - ACHIEVEMENT

| KEYSTONE | Advanced | Proficient | Basic | Below Basic | A+P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALG I | $13.5 \%$ | $70.3 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ <br> $(P A ~ 31.0 \%)$ |

Historical:

- 57.6\% at MS were Adv/Prof 2021
- N/Aat MS were Adv/Prof 2020
- 90.4\% at MS were Adv/Prof 2019
* These Keystone scores will be banked until the students are in $11^{\text {th }}$ grade (2025) *


## MS - PSSA - SCIENCE - ACHIEVEMENT

| PSSA/SCI | Advanced | Proficient | Basic | Below Basic | A+P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $8 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ <br> $(P A ~ 51.1 \%)$ |

Historical:

- 44.3\% at MS were Adv/Prof 2021
- N/A at MS were Adv/Prof 2020
- 48.4\% at MS were Adv/Prof 2019


## MS - FUTURE READY - GROWTH



## MS - PSSA - ELA - GROWTH



1=Low, 2=Low/Mid, 3=Mid, 4=Mid/High, 5=High
School Value-Added
Significant evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard
Moderate evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard
Evidence that the school met the growth standard
Moderate evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard
Significant evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard
No data currently available

## MS - PSSA - MATH - GROWTH



1=Low, 2=Low/Mid, 3=Mid, 4=Mid/High, 5=High

[^1]
## MS - PSSA - SCI - GROWTH



School Value-Added
Significant evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard Moderate evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard Evidence that the school met the growth standard Moderate evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard Significant evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard No data currently available

1=Low, 2=Low/Mid, 3=Mid, 4=Mid/High, 5=High

## MS - COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS



## MS - BUILDING SCORE

| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2017 | 2017 | 2018 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| 57.5 | 58.0 | 60.1 |  |  | 60.9 |

## MS - PASS

1. Feelings about school
2. Perceived learning capability
3. Self-regard as a learner
4. Preparedness for learning
5. Attitudes towards teachers
6. General work ethic
7. Confidence in learning
8. Attitudes towards attendance
9. Response to curriculum demands

| MS | \#1 | \#2 | \#3 | \#4 | \#5 | \#6 | \#7 | \#8 | \#9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | 54.4 | 51.1 | 47.2 | 68.7 | 56.0 | 33.7 | 49.7 | 50.8 | 45.2 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | 38.7 | 43.3 | 47.8 | 66.5 | 64.0 | 37.6 | 51.2 | 40.0 | 46.2 |

## FOCUS AT THE MS

- Math: IXL Implementation and Response to Data, Remediation and Enrichment Periods.
- ELA: IXL Implementation and Response to Data, Remediation and Enrichment Periods, Examine Standards Frequency/Emphasis
- Science: Research and evaluate local assessments for plan for needed interventions and enrichment.
- Family Engagement: Continue Coffee \& Convos
- SEL: Use PASS Survey to drive offerings and inform program planning. INSPIRE program to address "whole child" needs and reinforce Essential Skills

NORTHERN LEHIGH HIGH SCHOOL (9-12)


## HS - ATTENDANCE



## HS - FUTURE READY - ACHIEVEMENT



Student Group Breakdown

State Assessment Participation
Student Group Breakdown

State Assessment Participation

## HS - KEYSTONE - LIT - ACHIEVEMENT

| KEYSTONE | Advanced | Proficient | Basic | Below Basic | A+P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trigger <br> Course | $11.5 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $49.7 \%$ |

$11^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort Data
were Adv/Prof 2022 at HS

- 54.1\% were Adv/Prof 2022 in PA

Historical:

- IS at HS were Adv/Prof 2021
- N/A at HS were Adv/Prof 2020
- 63.3\% at HS were Adv/Prof 2019


## HS - KEYSTONE - ALG I - ACHIEVEMENT

| KEYSTONE | Advanced | Proficient | Basic | Below Basic | A+P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trigger <br> Course | $4.2 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $58.5 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |

$11^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort Data
were Adv/Prof 2022 at HS

- 35.7\% were Adv/Prof 2022 in PA

Historical:

- 62.1\% at HS were Adv/Prof 2021
- N/A at HS were Adv/Prof 2020
- 60.2\% at HS were Adv/Prof 2019


## HS - KEYSTONE - BIO - ACHIEVEMENT

| KEYSTONE | Advanced | Proficient | Basic | Below Basic | A+P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trigger <br> Course | $15.5 \%$ | $20.6 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ |

$11^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort Data
were Adv/Prof 2022 at HS

- 54.4\% were Adv/Prof 2022 in PA

Historical:

- IS at HS were Adv/Prof 2021
- N/A at HS were Adv/Prof 2020
- 63.9\% at HS were Adv/Prof 2017


## HS - FUTURE READY - GROWTH



## HS - KEYSTONE - LIT- GROWTH



## School Value-Added

Significant evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard Moderate evidence that the school exceeded the growth standardEvidence that the school met the growth standard
Moderate evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard
Significant evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard No data currently available

1=Low, 2=Low/Mid, 3=Mid, 4=Mid/High, 5=High

## HS - KEYSTONE - ALG I - GROWTH



School Value-Added
Significant evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard Moderate evidence that the school exceeded the growth standardEvidence that the school met the growth standard
Moderate evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard
Significant evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard
No data currently available

1=Low, 2=Low/Mid, 3=Mid, 4=Mid/High, 5=High

## HS - KEYSTONE -BIO - GROWTH



## School Value-Added

Significant evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard Moderate evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard
Evidence that the school met the growth standard
Moderate evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard
Significant evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard
No data currently available

1=Low, 2=Low/Mid, 3=Mid, 4=Mid/High, 5=High

## HS - COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS



## HS - COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS



## HS - BUILDING SCORE

| 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |  |  |
| 79.2 | 82.8 | 78.7 |  |  | 73.4 |

## HS - AP RESULTS

| SUB/\#/SCORE | BIO | CALC (AB) | LANG/COMP | LIT/COMO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Tested | 1 | 7 | 5 | 9 |
| Avg Score | 3.00 | 3.14 | 3.20 | 3.11 |


| SUB/\#/SCORE | US HIST | EU HIST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Tested | 7 | 5 |
| Avg Score | 2.14 | 3.2 |

## HS - SAT RESULTS

| 2021 SAT | EWR | MATH | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021 Average <br> (14 students) | 596 | 594 | 1190 |

2021 National Avg = 1060 2021 PA Avg = 1123

| 2022 SAT | EWR | MATH | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 Average <br> (29 students) | 574 | 540 | $1078 *$ |

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2022 \text { National Avg }=1060 \\
2022 \text { PA Avg }=1091
\end{array}
$$

- EBRW = Evidence Based Reading and Writing *
- Scores are for graduating senior cohort


## HS - PASS

1. Feelings about school
2. Perceived learning capability
3. Self-regard as a learner
4. Preparedness for learning
5. Attitudes towards teachers
6. General work ethic
7. Confidence in learning
8. Attitudes towards attendance
9. Response to curriculum demands

| HS | \#1 | $\# 2$ | $\# 3$ | $\# 4$ | $\# 5$ | $\# 6$ | $\# 7$ | $\# 8$ | $\# 9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ | 32.7 | 45.4 | 49.2 | 61.2 | 44.8 | 42.9 | 54.0 | 30.4 | 47.1 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 30.4 | 42.1 | 34.4 | 59.2 | 42.8 | 22.1 | 40.1 | 28.5 | 47.9 |
| $11^{\text {th }}$ | 13.8 | 46.0 | 43.8 | 55.6 | 28.5 | 20.9 | 41.3 | 14.3 | 39.0 |
| $12^{\text {th }}$ | 19.5 | 40.1 | 27.9 | 55.0 | 50.5 | 41.9 | 37.5 | 16.1 | 37.3 |



## FOCUS AT THE HS

- ELA: Utilizing IXL as a tool remediation/enrichment and diagnostic benchmarking
- Math: Phasing out the separation of Algebra IA and IB; IXL (see ELA)
- Science: Investigating Integrated Sciences
- Family Engagement: Utilizing Weekly Updates to the HS Community and joined the MS with Coffee/Convo
- SEL: PBIS recognition of students exhibiting Positive Behavior; PASS Survey Result Analysis
- Other: College/Career for All Students; Investigating scheduling beyond Block Scheduling such as a return to Traditional Scheduling


## HISTORICALLY UNDERPERFORMING POPULATIONS

## DISTRICT WIDE ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED

- Achievement on PSSA/Keystone
- SE - Achievement in all areas mimicked increase of all student group but at a lesser level (difference ELA 14.2, Math 16.2, Sci 2.3)
- MS - Achievement in all areas mimicked increase/decrease of student group but at a lesser level (difference ELA 14.7, Math 4.8, Sci 12.1)
- HS -
- Achievement in Lit was maintained by ED group where as it grew for all student group (difference 11.4)
"Achievement in Alg lincreased for ED group where as it decreased for all student group (difference 3.8)
- Achievement in Bio mimicked increase of all student group but at a lesser level (difference Bio 11.8)


## DISTRICT WIDE ENGLISH LEARNERS

- WIDA / ACCESS for ELLs Assessment
- 24 students completed the WIDA in grades K-12 during January - February 2022

Reaching

5
Bridging

- Lowest score = 1.8
- Highest score = 4.9
- Average scores:
- Peters = 2.8
- Slatington = 3.1
- MS = 3.6
- HS =2.7
- District-Wide = 3.0


## DISTRICT WIDE SPECIAL EDUCATION PASA + PSSA + KEYSTONE

| SES - PSSA | A+P |
| :---: | :---: |
| ELA | $14.0 \%$ |
| Math | $10.5 \%$ |
| Sci | $42.1 \%$ |


| MS - PSSA \& KEY | A+P |
| :---: | :---: |
| ELA | $20.5 \%$ |
| Math | $2.3 \%$ |
| Sci | $10.5 \%$ |


| DIST - PASA | A+P |
| :---: | :---: |
| ELA | $12.5 \%$ |
| Math | $25.0 \%$ |
| Sci | $0.0 \%$ |


| HS - KEY | A+P |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lit | $0.0 \%$ |
| Alg I | $4.8 \%$ |
| Bio | $13.6 \%$ |

## DISTRICT WIDE SPECIAL EDUCATION PASA + PSSA + KEYSTONE

-State allows 1\% of all students to take the PASA

- 2018-2019
- 17 Students
- $1.9 \%$ through a waiver

2019-2020

- 14 Students
- $1.5 \%$ through a waiver
- 2020-2021
- 10 Students
- 1.1\% through a waiver
- 2021-2022
- 9 Students
- .96\%
- 2022-2023
- 17 Students
- $1.8 \%$ through a waiver


## FOCUS - HISTORICALLY UNDERPERFORMING

- Utilizing Title I programs and interventions which has a focus on students that are economically disadvantaged
- Utilizing Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS) and the IEP process to address the needs of individual students
- Teacher goal setting as part of the supervision/evaluation process and individual goal setting for improvement
- Extend training for PASA qualifications to ensure all students qualify
- Professional Development opportunities for the upcoming compliance year in special education and additional trainings with regard to Multi-Lingual Learners.
- Continue to evaluate effective implementation of reading and math programs
- Expand Family Engagement by providing a parent training on behavior management strategies


## 2022-2023 DATA (THROUGH DEC 2022)

## PES - ACADIENCE READING

2022-2023 All Grades Status - DIBELS Next - Former Goals
District: Northern Lehigh School District School: Peters Elementary



Results Sased On DBEESComposite Score

## PES - IMAGINE LEARNING



| Advanced |
| :--- |
| Proficient |
| Basic |
| Below Basic |
| Far Below Basic |

## SES - STAR BENCHMARK DATA

## November 22-23 STAR Reading Benchmark

Slatington Elementary School

| Grade | $\wedge$ | Less than Proflident |  |  |  | Proficient |  |  |  | Star Reading Particlpation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Betlow Bask |  | Bast |  | Proficient |  | Advanced |  | Teted |  | Mot Teted |  |
|  |  | Total | \% | Total | * | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | * | Total | \% |
| Grade 3 |  | 13 | 11\% | 29 | 25\% | 49 | 42\% | 26 | 22\% | 117 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Grade 4 |  | 23 | 17\% | 36 | 27\% | 35 | 27\% | 38 | 29\% | 132 | 98\% | 3 | 2\% |
| Grade 5 |  | 14 | 13\% | 21 | 19\% | 52 | 47\% | 24 | 22\% | 111 | 97\% | 3 | 3\% |
| Grade 6 |  | 16 | 14\% | 31 | 26\% | 54 | 46\% | 17 | 14\% | 118 | 98\% | 3 | 2\% |

## SES - STAR BENCHMARK DATA

November 22-23 STAR Math Benchmark

## Slatington Elementary School

|  |  | Less than Proficient |  |  |  | Proficient |  |  |  | Star Math Participation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | stow Exask |  | Baxit |  | Profident |  | Adranced |  | Tetad |  | Mot Teted |  |
| Grade | $\wedge$ | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |
| Grade 3 |  | 35 | 30\% | 27 | 23\% | 27 | 23\% | 26 | 23\% | 115 | 98\% | 2 | 2\% |
| Grade 4 |  | 51 | 39\% | 28 | 21\% | 35 | 27\% | 18 | 14\% | 132 | 98\% | 3 | 2\% |
| Grade 5 |  | 16 | 14\% | 46 | 41\% | 25 | 23\% | 24 | 22\% | 111 | 97\% | 3 | $3 \%$ |
| Grade 6 |  | 43 | 36\% | 29 | 25\% | 29 | 25\% | 17 | 14\% | 118 | 98\% | 3 | 2\% |

## MS - IXL

## Benchmark 1

## NLMS 22-23: Snapshot 1 (Aug 29 - Sep 16) <br> Math, ELA \| 7th, 8th grades \| 1 school



## MS - IXL

## Benchmark 2

## NLMS 22-23: Snapshot 2 (Nov 2 - Nov 11) <br> ELA, Math \| 7th, 8th grades \| 1 school



## MS - IXL



## HS - ACADEMICS

ELA Snapshot Growth on IXL (Keystone)


Math Snapshot Growth on IXL (Keystone)




## ALL - PASS

1. Feelings about school
2. Perceived learning capability
3. Self-regard as a learner
4. Preparedness for learning
5. Attitudes towards teachers

| PE | \#1 | \#2 | \#3 | \#4 | \#5 | \#6 | \#7 | \#8 | \#9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 44.4 | 63.4 | 62.4 | 50.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | 36.0 | 62.9 | 53.8 | 40.3 | - | $\square \text { Lovsastation } \quad \square$ |  | Moderate satstaction | Highastsaction |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 53.3 | 65.7 | 59.4 | 62.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 47.0 | 49.6 | 53.9 | 52.3 | 46.9 | 57.8 | 41.4 | 43.3 | 44.7 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | 36.8 | 38.7 | 42.3 | 45.8 | 48.8 | 39.5 | 40.7 | 37.0 | 29.0 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | 28.4 | 33.3 | 40.2 | 39.3 | 35.5 | 38.3 | 36.0 | 28.7 | 37.9 |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ | 55.2 | 51.5 | 47.3 | 69.1 | 73.7 | 36.3 | 57.9 | 50.3 | 45.2 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | 54.4 | 41.3 | 47.2 | 59.8 | 56.0 | 33.7 | 40.7 | 50.8 | 45.2 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | 38.7 | 43.3 | 47.8 | 57.3 | 42.1 | 37.6 | 51.2 | 40.0 | 46.2 |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ | 32.7 | 35.2 | 34.5 | 61.2 | 44.8 | 21.3 | 43.9 | 42.0 | 29.8 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 23.7 | 31.7 | 34.4 | 48.1 | 42.8 | 22.1 | 40.1 | 28.5 | 47.9 |
| $11^{\text {th }}$ | 19.8 | 46.0 | 43.8 | 55.6 | 53.5 | 44.5 | 41.3 | 22.3 | 39.0 |
| $12^{\text {th }}$ | 19.5 | 40.1 | 42.4 | 43.5 | 50.5 | 19.5 | 37.5 | 23.9 | 37.3 |

7. Confidence in learning
8. Attitudes towards attendance
9. Response to curriculum demands

## RESOURCES

Future Ready PA Index: https://futurereadypa.org

- PVAAS: https://pvaas.sas.com
- PSSA Results:
https://www.education.pa.gov/DataAndReporting/Assessments/ Pages/PSSA-Results.aspx
- Keystone Results:
https://www.education.pa.gov/DataAndReporting/Assessments/ Pages/Keystone-Exams-Results.aspx
- PASS: https://www.passforschools.com


## QUESTIONS/COMMENTS




[^0]:    School Value-Added
    Significant evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard
    Moderate evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard
    Evidence that the school met the growth standard
    Moderate evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard
    Significant evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard
    No data currently available

[^1]:    School Value-Added
    Significant evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard
    Moderate evidence that the school exceeded the growth standard
    Evidence that the school met the growth standard
    Moderate evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard
    Significant evidence that the school did not meet the growth standard
    No data currently available

